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ABSTRACT. There were three negative seawater strontium-isotope excursions (shifts to lower $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values) during the Jurassic and Cretaceous that were of relatively short duration (5-13 my) and showed a relatively quick recovery to pre-excursion $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios. These excursions occurred in the Pliensbachian-Toarcian (Early Jurassic), Aptian-Albian, and Cenomanian-Santonian (Early and Late Cretaceous respectively). Each excursion coincided closely in time with an Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE) marked by sediments unusually rich in organic carbon. The Jurassic OAE occurred at the end of the strontium-isotope excursion, whereas the two Cretaceous OAEs occurred at the onset of the accompanying strontium-isotope excursions.

The possible causes of these excursions were evaluated by successively examining the changes in the riverine strontium fluxes, riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios, or hydrothermal strontium fluxes required to produce each excursion. A range of seawater strontium budgets was used to encompass the uncertainties in modern and ancient cycles. To produce the excursions, we calculate that the riverine strontium fluxes would have had to decrease by 6 to 15 percent or the fluvial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios by 0.00019 to 0.00046. The uncertainties largely stem from the assumed magnitude of the hydrothermal strontium flux at the onset of each excursion. Alternatively, increases in sea-floor hydrothermal activity of 7 to 104 percent could also have produced the strontium-isotope excursions. This large range is due mostly to uncertainties in the relative flux of strontium from axial high-temperature hydrothermal systems and low-temperature off-axis systems. Only a small portion of this range stems from uncertainties in the riverine strontium terms.

The possible causes of the excursions were further evaluated by examining several geologic factors that could have affected riverine strontium, including climate change, sea level, and the eruption of flood basalts. We conclude that neither variations in riverine strontium fluxes nor in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios is the likely cause of the strontium-isotope excursions. The most probable explanation is increased rates of hydrothermal activity related to increased ocean-crust production at the mid-ocean ridges.

The close correlation in time between the strontium-isotope excursions and the major Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs) is compatible with a causal linkage. We propose that increased ocean-crust production led to enhanced CO$_2$ outgassing and global warming, which in turn led to several processes that acted to make surface ocean waters more productive. However, because OAEs did not occur throughout the proposed periods of enhanced hydrothermal activity, it appears that these processes only preconditioned the oceans for the OAEs: sea level rise may have been the final trigger. This model explains why all three OAEs did not occur at the same time relative to the onset of excess hydrothermal activity and why OAEs are not associated with every sea level rise documented in the stratigraphic record.

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we document a remarkable correlation in time between three prominent, relatively short-term minima in the Mesozoic seawater strontium-isotope curve and the three major Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs) and their corresponding positive $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ excursions. Although a temporary decrease in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ is
consistent with increased rates of hydrothermal activity, it is also consistent with
decreased continental weathering rates or decreased riverine \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratios stem-
mimg from an eruption of continental flood basalts. Therefore, to determine the cause
of the Sr-isotope excursions, we go on to calculate the magnitude of changes required
in the riverine or hydrothermal terms to produce each excursion and then consider in
detail the geological evidence for or against the implied changes in continental
weathering and hydrothermal activity. The goal is to make the seawater Sr-isotope
curve a useful constraint for understanding the genesis of Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs).

Although the seawater \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio is controlled by the relative inputs and
isotopic composition of both riverine and mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal Sr, fluctua-
tions in the Phanerozoic seawater Sr-isotope curve are generally interpreted largely in
terms of the riverine term (Palmer and Elderfield, 1985; DePaolo, 1986; Hess, Bender,
and Schilling, 1986; Miller and others, 1988; Raymo, Ruddiman, and Froelich, 1988;
Hodell and others, 1989; Tardy, N’Kounkou, and Probst, 1989; Berner and Rye, 1992;
Edmond, 1992; Richter, Rowley, and DePaolo, 1992; François, Walker, and Opdyke,
1993). The main exception to this rule is the Cretaceous and Jurassic (Berner and Rye,
1992; François, Walker, and Opdyke, 1993), where variations in the hydrothermal flux
may have controlled the overall trend of the curve (Ingram and others, 1994; Jones and
others, 1994). Because the seawater Sr-isotope curve is a global ocean record (Capo
and DePaolo, 1992), any fluctuations in the curve require globally significant changes
in riverine or hydrothermal sources.

The three principal well-documented Mesozoic OAEs occur in the Early Jurassic
and the Early and Late Cretaceous (Jenkyns, 1999). The sedimentary record of each is
globally marked by the presence of pelagic black shales with relatively high organic-
carbon values within a narrow biostratigraphic interval spanning 1 my or less
(Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976; Jenkyns, 1980, 1988; Schlanger and others, 1987;
Weissert, 1989; Arthur and others, 1990; Jenkyns and Clayton, 1997). These events
were not necessarily times of whole-ocean anoxia but instead were marked by sedimentary
evidence for a reduction in bottom-water dissolved oxygen levels and for higher
levels of marine organic-carbon preservation. Each OAE is also marked by a shift in the \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) of carbonate and organic matter to heavier values. These positive excursions are
generally interpreted as having resulted from the enhanced drawdown of isotopically
light organic carbon into the sedimentary record, which left the ocean-atmosphere
reservoir of carbon residually enriched in isotopically heavy carbon. However, the
exact relationship between positive carbon-isotope excursions and marine carbon-
burial events is complex, because high \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) values commonly persist after the end of
the OAE (Jenkyns and Clayton, 1997; Menegatti and others, 1998; Jenkyns, 1999). The
extensive nature of these carbon-burial episodes is emphasized by their remarkably
widespread distribution around the globe and by the similar detailed structure of the
accompanying \(\delta^{13}\text{C}\) excursions documented from widely separated localities (Gale and
others, 1993; Jenkyns, Gale, and Corfield, 1994; Villamil and Arango, 1998; Davey and
Jenkyns, 1999). These episodes of enhanced carbon burial are of particular interest
because they are responsible for some 60 percent of the world’s oil source rocks
(Irving, North, and Couillard, 1974) and must have significantly impacted the global
carbon cycle and climate.

Proposed mechanisms for the OAEs incorporate evidence for coincident marine
transgressions as well as ways of supplying enough nutrients to surface waters to
maintain or enhance levels of biological productivity throughout the period of
enhanced carbon burial (Jenkyns, 1999). Several models use enhanced marine volca-
nism to help produce the OAEs, either by driving eustatic sealevel rise to expand
carbon preservation on continental margins and enhance the production of warm
saline deep waters to stimulate upwelling and productivity (Arthur, Schlanger, and Jenkyns, 1987), or by pumping excess CO$_2$ into the atmosphere to accelerate continental weathering and thus increase the supply of nutrients to the oceans (Weissert, 1989), or by increasing rates of hydrothermal activity to affect nutrient supply (Sinton and Duncan, 1997), upwelling (Vogt, 1989), or both (Kerr, 1998).

The Sr-isotope record shows several minima during the Mesozoic (fig. 1). We will focus on the minima occurring in the Early Jurassic (Pliensbachian-Toarcian stage boundary), middle Cretaceous (Aptian and Albian), and Late Cretaceous (Cenomanian, Turonian, Coniacian and Santonian) because each shows a sudden onset, relatively limited duration (between 5 and 13 my), and a return to pre-excursion $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios. Thus, for these minima, we can infer a transient causal mechanism and can reasonably assume that if a change in riverine Sr caused an excursion, hydrothermal activity probably did not vary significantly during the excursion, and vice versa. We do not include the Middle/Late Jurassic minimum (Callovian-Oxfordian, fig. 1) in our analysis because it spans a long interval of time (Bajocian through Valanginian or roughly 30 my) and does not register a simple return to pre-excursion $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios. We do not consider the dramatic Late Permian minimum (Denison and others, 1994; Martin and Macdougall, 1995) for similar reasons, and we neglect a possible short-duration minimum spanning the Triassic-Jurassic boundary (Norian, Rhaetian, and Hettangian) due to excessive scatter in data from the Upper Triassic (Koepnick and others, 1990).

**Relative timing of the strontium- and carbon-isotope excursions**

**Jurassic.—** Much of the data from the Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous is derived from belemnites and oysters from ammonite-calibrated sections in England (Jones, Jenkyns, and Hesselbo, 1994; Jones and others, 1994). We define the Early Jurassic strontium-isotope excursion (fig. 2) as beginning in the *margaritatus* Zone of the late Pliensbachian, as opposed to a continuation of the decline beginning in the Hettangian, based on the leveling off of the curve in the *davoei* and *margaritatus* Zones. This leveling off, although small, is reproduced in belemnites collected from two localities 300 km apart. The excursion ends with a rapid increase to pre-excursion $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios in the early Toarcian mid-*falciferum* Zone. This sudden increase is probably an artifact of a condensed interval or undetected hiatus in the sedimentary record (Jones, Jenkyns, and Hesselbo, 1994; McArthur and others, 2000). There is no biostratigraphic or obvious sedimentological evidence for such a condensed record, but such a rapid shift seems unlikely given the long residence time (several million years) of Sr in seawater. The excursion lasted about 4.5 my, from roughly 191.5 to 187 Ma (using Gradstein and others, 1994).

Extensive sedimentological and carbon-isotope data point to a major OAE whose sedimentary record is dated as early Toarcian, *falciferum* Zone (Jenkyns, 1988). Recent data further pinpoint this OAE (also known as the Posidonienschiefer Event) to be more specifically restricted to the exaratum Subzone (Jenkyns and Clayton, 1997; Jenkyns, 1999). In addition, carbon-isotope data suggest that a minor positive excursion in the Pliensbachian *margaritatus* Zone preceded the major *falciferum*-Zone event (fig. 2; Jenkyns and Clayton, 1986). Because both the Sr- and C-isotope data are derived from European sections dated using similar ammonite zonal schemes, the correlation between the Sr and C records is precise to within an ammonite zone (fig. 2). Compared to the Cretaceous examples, the Early Jurassic is unusual in that the main OAE (*falciferum* Zone) occurred at the end of the Sr-isotope excursion.

**Cretaceous.—** The mid-Cretaceous Sr-isotope record (fig. 3) includes two excursions defined by data from belemnites and oysters from England (Jones and others, 1994), from foraminifera from a variety of pelagic-carbonate sites (Bralower and others, 1997), from bulk chalks from Germany and England (McArthur and others,
Fig. 1. The seawater Sr-isotope curve shows minima in the Late Triassic, Pliensbachian-Toarcian, Callovian-Oxfordian, Aptian-Albian, and Cenomanian-Santonian. The three Oceanic Anoxic Events (OAEs) are shown for comparison. Mesozoic data are plotted using the time scale of Gradstein and others (1994). The data of Hess, Bender, and Schilling (1986) summarize the general features of the Cenozoic Sr-isotope record. All data are plotted normalized to NIST SRM 987 = 0.710250.
Diagenesis has led to variable but significant scatter in the data, even among macrofossils taken from single successions. In general, diagenesis raises carbonate $\frac{^{87}\text{Sr}}{^{86}\text{Sr}}$ relative to pristine values due to the release of radiogenic Sr from continental siliciclastics. Thus, it is generally appropriate to draw a best-estimate curve along the lower limits defined by the data (Burke and others, 1982; Jones and others, 1994). However, problems with biostratigraphy in the Aptian and Albian lead to potentially significant scatter on the horizontal axis as well (Bralower and others, 1997). A best-estimate curve is thus derived from these data by drawing a line along the lower limits of the data during maxima and minima, due to the fact that biostratigraphic errors move the data only in a horizontal sense, and through the middle of the data when the data are rising or falling, due to the fact that the relative importance of diagenetic and time errors is unknown. The reason for the discrepancy between the data of Jones and others and Bralower and others for the Albian is not known but may rest with difficulties in correlation between north European ammonites and largely Tethyan microfossils and nannofossils. Correspondence between various data sets is otherwise good in the Barremian, Aptian, and Cenomanian through Campanian (fig. 3).

The data of figure 3 indicate that the first mid-Cretaceous Sr-isotope excursion began in the earliest Aptian (~123 Ma), reached its minimum in the late Aptian (~115 Ma), and was largely over by the mid-Albian (~110 Ma). A slow increase occurred from...
the Albian through the late Cenomanian. This excursion thus lasted about 13 my. The second mid-Cretaceous excursion began in the mid-to-late Cenomanian (95 Ma), reached its minimum by the late Turonian (90 Ma), and reached pre-excursion 87Sr/86Sr values by the Santonian (85 Ma). This excursion thus had a total duration of about 10 my.

Sedimentological, paleontological, and carbon-isotope evidence place the onset of the Early Aptian OAE, also known as the Selli Event (Jenkyns, 1999), to within the C. litterarius Zone (NG6), postdating magnetic anomaly M0 but prior to nannofossil subzone NC6B (Bralower and others, 1994); on the Gradstein and others (1994) time scale this corresponds to a range of about 120.7 to 119.4 Ma (Bralower and others, 1997). The approximate timing of a second Aptian positive δ13C excursion follows Weissert and Lini (1991). The Cenomanian-Turonian OAE is marked as a 0.5 my interval spanning the stage boundary (Schlanger and others, 1987). Both the Aptian and Cenomanian-Turonian OAEs correspond exactly in time with rapid decreases in the Sr-isotope curve. Abbreviations along bottom axis refer to stage names. Data plotted using the time scale of Gradstein and others (1994).

For this study, the important feature is that the Early Aptian OAE occurred at the onset of the Sr-isotope excursion. It also seems significant that other changes leading
up to the OAE date to the latest Barremian (Brailer and others, 1994; Erba, 1994), occurring exactly when the Sr-isotope curve started its downward movement. Because there are possible problems of correlation between Sr-isotope data calibrated by ammonite biostratigraphy and carbon-isotope data calibrated by planktonic micro- and nannofossils, it is important that this same correlation in time is confirmed by data collected from shallow-water guyot carbonates in the Mid-Pacific Mountains (fig. 4; Jenkyns, 1995; Jenkyns and others, 1995; Jenkyns and Wilson, 1999). Although the biostratigraphic age assignments from this Pacific section are only approximate because of the paucity of planktonic biota, acquisition of the Sr and C data from the same samples confirms that the positive carbon-isotope excursion began at the same time as the Sr-isotope curve started its Aptian decline.

The Cenomanian-Turonian boundary OAE (or Bonarelli Event) is well-documented biostratigraphically, sedimentologically, and isotopically from around the globe (Schlanger and others, 1987; Arthur, Dean, and Pratt, 1988; Gale and others, 1993; Jenkyns, Gale, and Corfield, 1994; Paul and others, 1994; Hasegawa, 1997; Villamil and Arango, 1998; Jenkyns, 1999). Carbon-isotope data in particular constrain the event to have started in the latest Cenomanian and ended in the early Turonian.

![Fig. 4. The synchronicity of the Aptian Sr- and carbon-isotope excursions is confirmed by these data from shallow-water carbonates of Resolution Guyot, Mid-Pacific Mountains (Jenkyns, 1995; Jenkyns and others, 1995; Jenkyns and Wilson, 1999). A finely laminated organic-carbon-rich interval taken to represent the Selli Event (Jenkyns, 1995) is marked as the OAE. It has the same relative position to the $\delta^{13}C$ excursion as the OAE defined at other sites. Since the Sr, C, and sedimentological data were derived from the same samples, there is no doubt as to the relative stratigraphic relationships between the two curves and the OAE in this section. The $\delta^{13}C$ curve represents a five-point moving average of the complete data set. Similar Sr- and carbon-isotope relationships are shown in the Aptian-Albian shallow-water carbonates of “MIT” Guyot in the north Pacific (Jenkyns and Wilson, 1999).](image-url)
(Whiteinella archaeocretacea planktonic foraminiferal Zone, M. geslinianum to W. de- vonense ammonite Zones), lasting less than 1 my. Age-diagnostic taxa are widespread at this time, enabling precise correlation between the Sr- and C-isotope curves.

In summary, each OAE has a short-term Sr-isotope excursion associated with it. The Early Jurassic OAE took place at the end of the Sr-isotope excursion whereas the two Cretaceous OAEs were coincident with the onset of the excursions to lower $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios.

MODELING THE STRONTIUM-ISOTOPE EXCURSIONS

The modern strontium budget.—The causes of the three short-term Sr-isotope excursions considered in this paper can be evaluated using oceanic Sr budgets to calculate the changes in riverine or hydrothermal inputs required to reproduce each excursion. The main difficulty with these calculations is the uncertainties in both the modern and ancient seawater Sr-isotope budgets. The most commonly used modern marine Sr cycle is that of Palmer and Edmond (1989). This cycle is based on riverine Sr data representing 47 percent of global runoff, a small diagenetic flux term (Elderfield and Gieskes, 1982), and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ data from ocean-ridge hydrothermal vent fluids; the mass flux of hydrothermal Sr is then calculated by isotopic mass balance (table 1).

The main problem with the modern Sr budget, especially when considering past rates of hydrothermal activity, is understanding the relative importance of high- and low-temperature hydrothermal systems on the ocean-floor basaltic Sr flux. It has often been assumed, based on high-temperature seawater-diabase alteration experiments (Berndt, Seyfried, and Beck, 1988) and the geochemistry of black-smoker vent fluids, that the principal source of basaltic Sr to the oceans is high-temperature ($\sim 350^\circ$C) axial hydrothermal vents. Based on the Sr and Cl concentrations in these vent fluids, the marine Sr-isotopic mass balance implies an axial high-temperature fluid flux of $17 \times 10^{13}$ kg/yr (Elderfield and Schultz, 1996). In contrast, the global ocean-ridge heat-flow anomaly (Stein and Stein, 1994) and the observed depth and geometry of mid-ocean-ridge magma chambers (Morton and Sleep, 1985; Wolery and Sleep, 1988) imply axial high-temperature fluid fluxes of only $3 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{13}$ kg/yr (Elderfield and Schultz, 1996) or about a factor of six less than that implied by the Sr mass balance.

Because the heat capacity of seawater is strongly temperature-dependent, it is essential to understand the temperatures over which geochemically significant reactions occur and the distribution of hot-spring vent temperatures across the ocean ridges in order to relate geochemical mass-balance budgets to geophysical heat budgets. This is well illustrated by the marine magnesium budget. Palmer and Edmond (1989) use a marine Mg mass balance to constrain the fluid flux through axial high-temperature ($350^\circ$C) hot springs and produce a number similar to that calculated using the Sr budget. However, it is clear that Mg is also efficiently removed at temperatures much cooler than $350^\circ$C. Rapid removal occurs at temperatures down to about $40^\circ$C (Mottl, 1983; Mottl and Wheat, 1994) and may be significant at temperatures lower than $25^\circ$C (Elderfield and Schultz, 1996). Thus, the ocean-ridge hydrothermal Mg sink clearly reflects an unconstrained mixture of both high- and low-temperature fluid circulation, and the Mg budget provides only an upper-limit constraint on high-temperature fluid fluxes.

While experimental evidence suggests that hot-spring Sr is released only during high-temperature diabase alteration (Berndt, Seyfried, and Beck, 1988), numerous studies on sub-seafloor pore waters show that basaltic Sr is also released during low-temperature alteration (Mottl and others, 1985; Mottl and Gieskes, 1990; Hess, Bender, and Schilling, 1991). Moreover, there is evidence that warm ($\sim 60^\circ$C) and intermediate-temperature ($\sim 250^\circ$C) hot springs may be important along oceanic ridges (Mottl and others, 1998; Teagle, Alt, and Halliday, 1998); these may also carry considerable amounts of Sr. Thus, the most straightforward resolution of the factor-of-
six geophysical/Sr budget discrepancy is to have, like Mg, a significant off-axis and/or lower-temperature flux of basaltic Sr (Elderfield and Schultz, 1996). The other possibility for resolving the budget discrepancy, namely that the riverine Sr database is somehow biased, is discounted for a number of reasons (Palmer and Edmond, 1989), not the least of which is that "unrealistically extreme assumptions" have to be made to bring the seawater Sr budget in line with the geophysical estimates (Elderfield and Schultz, 1996).

The uncertain relative importance of high- and low-temperature basaltic Sr fluxes is accounted for in this paper by considering a range of balanced Sr cycles. The river-water data of Palmer and Edmond (1989) require a certain flux of basaltic Sr ($^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.7119$) to bring riverine Sr ($^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.7119$) down to modern seawater Sr-isotope values ($^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.70918$; table 1). At one extreme, the entire basaltic Sr flux is assumed to come from axial high-temperature systems (Palmer and Edmond, 1989).
Edmond, 1989); at the other, only one-sixth of the basaltic Sr flux is assumed to come from high-temperature axial fluids while the other five-sixths are carried by off-axis, lower-temperature hydrothermal fluids. The distinction between axial and off-axis systems is important, because a change in ocean-crust production, which is assumed to drive hydrothermal activity (see below), may have immediate effects on the axial systems but only more gradual effects on the off-axis systems. Intermediate cycles (with 25, 50, and 75 percent of the basaltic Sr assigned to the rapidly responding high-temperature axial term) are included to reflect the possibility that a significant fraction of the basaltic Sr is carried by axial intermediate-temperature hydrothermal systems that, along with the axial high-temperature systems, are likely to respond quickly to changes in ocean-crust production. Using this range of Sr budgets highlights the effects that an inadequate understanding of hydrothermal systems has on our model results.

There are also some uncertainties associated with the numbers defining the riverine inputs of Sr to the oceans. First, the steady-state assumption used when calculating an isotopic mass balance may over-estimate the magnitude of the basaltic Sr flux. If the oceans were at steady-state with respect to its Sr inputs, the modern seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio would be stable at its present value. Instead, the seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio has risen at a rate of about 0.00006/my over the past 2.5 my (Hodell, Mead, and Mueller, 1990; Farrell, Clemens, and Gromet, 1995). High-precision data focusing on the last 350 ky show a similar rate of increase (Henderson and others, 1994) or perhaps a slow levelling off (Farrell, Clemens, and Gromet, 1995). The rapid rise most likely reflects riverine inputs driving the oceans toward an equilibrium $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio that is higher than the present-day value of 0.70918 (Hodell and others, 1989; Hodell, Mead, and Mueller, 1990). Hodell and others (1990) used a non-equilibrium model to estimate the modern steady-state seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio as 0.70938. Using this value, the calculated hydrothermal Sr flux is reduced by only 12 percent as compared to the steady-state mass balance in table 1.

A more significant source of uncertainty stems from the riverine data themselves. Palmer and Edmond (1989) argue that their mass-flux estimate is accurate to within about 30 percent and that the actual global average $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ is unlikely to be any lower than about 0.7114. Although they consider that their mass-flux value is more likely to be too low, due to their use of the lower of two widely cited river-runoff figures, a broader question is the extent to which their measurements on modern rivers represent the average over the past few hundred thousand years. Modern Sr fluxes are probably relatively high because interglacial climates are warm and wet compared to glacial climates over at least the past 0.5 to 1 my (Rea, 1994). Higher temperatures and rainfall enhance rates of chemical weathering (White and Blum, 1995), thus leading to a Sr flux that may be higher than the average taken over several glacial-interglacial cycles. Second, fluvial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios from glaciated Precambrian terrains should be unusually high due to the effects of rapid biotite weathering (Blum and Erel, 1995, 1997; Blum, 1997). The magnitude of radiogenic Sr release from biotite exposed following a deglaciation may be large enough to help cause some of the smaller scale features in the Sr-isotope curve (Blum, 1997), such as the increase from 2.5 my to present. Overall, given the difficulties of estimating the impact of glacial activity and the present warm interglacial climate on the fluvial Sr data, we will stick with the original error estimates of Palmer and Edmond (1989). Thus, if the late Quaternary average riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio is nearer to 0.7114, the basaltic Sr flux is reduced by 19 percent. If the long-term average Sr flux is 30 percent lower, the basaltic Sr flux is lowered by 31 percent. If both riverine terms are so reduced, the basaltic Sr flux is reduced by 44 percent. As will be discussed below, these sources of uncertainty have
relatively small effects on the results of this paper because we look at relative and not absolute changes in the Sr budgets associated with each excursion.

Ancient Sr-isotope budgets.—When moving into the geological past, additional sources of uncertainty stem from the fact that, at the onset of an excursion, the values of the hydrothermal and riverine terms are not precisely known. Thus, it is necessary to consider a range of plausible Sr cycles that could have been acting at the start of each excursion. Broad constraints on past hydrothermal Sr fluxes can be derived from the data of Larson (1991a,b) by making the reasonable assumption that hydrothermal fluxes are proportional to rates of ocean-crust production (Berner, Lasaga, and Garrels, 1983; Richter, Rowley, and DePaolo, 1992; Jones and others, 1994). Since hydrothermal activity probably penetrates to a more or less constant depth at oceanic spreading centers and plateaus (Larson, 1994), we express crustal-production rates in terms of area instead of volume to avoid skewing the data toward the unusually thick oceanic plateaus. The crustal-production data imply that rates of hydrothermal activity during the Cretaceous were at or well above modern levels (fig. 5). Assuming a 1:1 proportion, hydrothermal Sr fluxes were up to 1.8 times higher than modern rates (~1.6 if oceanic-plateau emplacement does not produce hydrothermal activity, Larson, 1994). For this study, we use cycles with initial hydrothermal fluxes that range from 0.5 to 2 times that of the modern axial flux, but, following figure 5, we emphasize

---

Fig. 5. Relative variation with time of ocean-crust production rates (by area) of normal mid-ocean ridge crust and oceanic plateaus. The rates of Larson (1991a,b) were converted to areal production rates by dividing his volumetric production rates by 6.5 km for mid-ocean ridge crust and by adjusting the oceanic-plateau volume estimates in Larson and Olson (1991) following the original compilation of Schubert and Sandwell (1989). Flood basalts, thermal swells, and other “mantle-plume” features that would not contribute to hydrothermal activity are not included.
total basaltic Sr fluxes ranging from 1 to 2 times the modern rates. Data on crustal production are lacking for the Early Jurassic; our emphasis of the 1 to 2 times the modern range for this excursion may therefore be inappropriate.

The riverine mass flux and/or $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio are almost certainly higher today than at any time in the Phanerozoic (Edmond, 1992; Richter, Rowley, and DePaolo, 1992; Jones and others, 1994). Unfortunately, it is not yet possible to infer exactly which combination of increased mass flux and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio produced the rapid rise in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values over the past 40 my. Thus, for each starting hydrothermal Sr flux, the pre-excursion seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio is obtained by reducing either the riverine Sr mass flux ($J_r$) with $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ held constant or the riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio ($R_r$) with the mass flux held constant. Using these two endmembers brackets all possible intermediate combinations and thus generates the widest range of model calculations.

**Modeling the Possible Causes of the Strontium-Isotope Excursions**

We use the dynamic response model of Hodell and others (1989) to see whether the Sr-isotope curve going into each excursion moved fast enough to require extraordinary changes in inputs. For example, a rise in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios from 5.5 to 4.5 Ma appeared to have been too rapid to have been a response to a simple step-wise change in Sr inputs; instead, an extra large transient pulse of riverine Sr was required to force the extra rapid rise (Hodell and others, 1989). The importance of an accurate time scale was emphasized when Farrell, Clemens, and Gromet (1995) found that the rate of rise was inflated in part due to chronostratigraphic artifacts and thus in the end required no extraordinary pulse in inputs. The dynamic response model traces the logarithmic response of seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios to a step-like change in oceanic inputs (for example, a sudden increase in hydrothermal activity) that takes the curve from an initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio ($R(t_{\text{ini}})$) to a final equilibrium $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio ($R_{\text{equil}}$) as a function of time:

$$R(t) = R_{\text{equil}} - [R_{\text{equil}} - R(t_{\text{ini}})]e^{(t-t_{\text{ini}})/\tau},$$

where $t_{\text{ini}}$ is the starting time in millions of years, $t$ marks the passage of time, and $\tau$ is the residence time of Sr. The oceanic residence time of Sr is defined by:

$$\tau = \frac{M_{\text{Sr}}}{(J_{\text{rw}} + J_{\text{d}} + J_{\text{h}})},$$

where $M_{\text{Sr}}$ is the mass of Sr in the oceans (assumed to be constant at $1.23 \times 10^{17}$ moles) and $J_{\text{rw}}$, $J_{\text{d}}$, and $J_{\text{h}}$ are the fluxes of riverine, diagenetic, and hydrothermal Sr, respectively. We assume that the residence time was constant during the Jurassic and Cretaceous and matched the modern value of about 2.4 my. A longer residence time slows the response of oceanic $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios to a change in inputs. Of the 3 excursions covered in this paper (figs. 2, 3), only the Early Jurassic excursion appears to have decreased (and increased) too rapidly to reflect an equilibrium response. However, it seems likely that the high rates of change are artifacts of a poorly constrained Jurassic time scale. We therefore assume for each excursion that extraordinarily large changes in inputs were not required to drive the Sr-isotope excursions down or up. If the Early Jurassic time scale is in fact accurate, the results obtained in this study would underestimate the true changes required to cause the Sr-isotope excursion.

The long residence time of Sr (2.4 my) relative to the short duration of the onset of each Sr-isotope excursion (Pliensbachian-Toarcian: 1.5 my; Aptian-Albian: 8 my; Cenomanian-Santonian: 5 my) means that it is unlikely that the Sr-isotope curve reached its lowest equilibrium $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio before the inputs again changed and allowed the curve to recover. Because we cannot estimate how low each excursion
would have gone given sufficient time to equilibrate with the new inputs, we use the observed values at the low point of each excursion as an upper-limit of the true steady state $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio. The true magnitude of the decrease in oceanic $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios is most significantly underestimated for the Pliensbachian-Toarcian and Cenomanian-Santonian excursions, whereas the longer duration of the Aptian-Albian excursion allowed the Sr-isotope curve to come more closely to steady state with the new inputs. Since we are using the observed excursion minima as upper limit approximations to steady state, we can calculate the minimum magnitude of changes required to produce each of the three excursions using a simple equilibrium isotopic mass balance:

$$R_{sw} = \frac{J_{rw}R_{rw} + J_{d}R_{d} + J_{h}R_{h}}{J_{rw} + J_{d} + J_{h}},$$

where $R_{rw}$, $R_{d}$, and $R_{h}$ are the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of riverine, diagenetic, and hydrothermal Sr, respectively, and the $R_{sw}$ is the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio of seawater.

The magnitude of changes in the Sr budgets required to produce each excursion is calculated by solving the isotopic mass balance for the variable of interest ($J_{rw}$, $R_{rw}$, or $J_{h}$) and adjusting the seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio from its pre-excursion value to the lowest average value attained during the excursion. The result is the amount a given variable ($J_{rw}$, $R_{rw}$, or $J_{h}$) needs to have changed to produce the excursion. We went through each of the cycles outlined in table 1 to produce curves that span the entire possible range of the starting total hydrothermal (fig. 6) or axial hydrothermal (fig. 8) Sr fluxes. These calculations incorporate the principal uncertainties in past Sr budgets, including the past riverine Sr fluxes and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios, the partitioning of the basaltic Sr flux between rapidly responding high-temperature axial systems and sluggishly responding off-axis systems, and the magnitude of the total basaltic Sr flux at the onset of each excursion. The residence times associated with the budgets in table 1 generally stay between 2 and 4 my and are thus compatible with the rates of change observed in the excursions. Larger residence times are associated with budgets with total hydrothermal Sr fluxes that are less than modern. As noted above (fig. 5), we focus our attention on budgets with starting basaltic Sr fluxes that are at least as great as those of the modern.

The results, assuming that the excursions were caused entirely by changes in the riverine parameters, are shown in figure 6. The required changes in the riverine terms (either Sr flux or $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio) are relatively small, with the lowest ranges of uncertainties associated with the largest basaltic Sr fluxes. With progressively smaller hydrothermal Sr fluxes the uncertainties increase, mainly due to larger maximum changes in the fluvial Sr flux or $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio. Of the three excursions, the smallest required changes are associated with the Cenomanian-Santonian event. Assuming past hydrothermal fluxes at least as great as today (fig. 5), the fluvial Sr flux would have to be reduced by no less than 6 to 9 percent, depending on whether the difference between modern and Cenomanian seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ was due to changes in the riverine Sr flux or its $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio. Alternatively, the global average riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio would have to be reduced by 0.00019 to 0.00028. We will discuss specific geological conditions that could have produced such changes in the riverine terms in the next section.

The sizes of the envelopes in figure 6 are little affected by uncertainties in the mass flux or $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio of modern average river water. A non-steady-state budget assuming that the modern equilibrium seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio equals 0.70938 (Hodell and others, 1990) has negligible effects on the calculations (less than 3 percent). The minimum possible changes in the fluvial fluxes are increased by 5 percent by budgets that assume modern Sr fluxes that are either 30 percent higher or lower than currently estimated if modern riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ is assumed to equal 0.7114. The maximum changes are increased by ~17 percent (1-4 percentage points on fig. 6) using a modern
Fig. 6. Calculated changes in the riverine Sr flux (left, in percent) and riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (right) that are individually required to produce each of the three excursions. Results are plotted against the total hydrothermal (axial plus off-axial) Sr flux. Results for starting basaltic Sr fluxes of one-half, one, and twice modern hydrothermal rates are listed. The shaded regions indicate the most probable results assuming that ocean-crust production rates (fig. 5) imply hydrothermal circulation at least as vigorous as today. For the Sr-flux calculations (left), the smallest changes are defined by cycles in which the modern riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ was held constant and the Sr flux was reduced to produce pre-excursion seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$; the largest changes are defined by budgets in which the Sr flux was held constant and the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio was reduced. The percent change figures in parentheses give the results for Sr budgets in which the modern fluvial Sr flux is assumed to be 30 percent larger than the best-estimate of Palmer and Edmond (1989). For the riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ calculations (right), the smallest changes are defined by cycles in which the fluvial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio was adjusted to make pre-excursion seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ whereas the largest changes come from cycles in which just the fluvial Sr fluxes were adjusted. The expanded range of changes in the riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios resulting from uncertainties in the modern fluvial Sr fluxes are as follows: For $J_h$ = twice modern, Cenomanian-Santonian = 0.00021 to 0.00029, Aptian-Albian = 0.00035 to 0.00049, Pliensbachian-Toarcian = 0.00028 to 0.00039. For other starting $J_h$ values, the minimum shifts are reduced by 0.00001 to 0.00003 while the maximum shifts are unchanged.
fluvial Sr flux that is 30 percent higher and decreased by similar amounts if the modern fluvial flux is 30 percent lower. As noted in the caption for figure 6, the minimum changes in riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ are reduced by small amounts when the 30 percent larger fluvial flux budgets are used. The maximum changes in fluvial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ are largely unaffected when using a 30 percent lower fluvial flux.

Although the Sr flux and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio are here treated as independent variables, data from modern rivers (Palmer and Edmond, 1989) suggest an inverse relationship between Sr concentrations and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (fig. 7). This results largely from ancient granitic rocks with high $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values weathering more slowly than Sr-rich limestones with low, seawater-like $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values. While it is also true that basaltic terrains can produce relatively high concentrations of Sr with low $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (Goldstein and Jacobsen, 1987), this fact is obscured in figure 7 by the limited difference in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios between basalts and average limestones (0.705 versus 0.709) compared to limestones and ancient granites (0.709 versus >0.715) and by the fact that the Sr-rich limestones weather about 10 times faster than basalts and other silicate rocks (Berner and Berner, 1997). When viewed in the aggregate (fig. 7), an increased global Sr flux is more likely to accompany decreased $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios, which would make the calculations shown in figure 6 an underestimate of the actual changes in riverine Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ required to produce the excursions. However, the wide scatter in the plots of $1/\text{Sr}$ versus $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ means that it is best to consider specific geological factors to produce changes in the fluvial Sr terms. For example, increased
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Fig. 7. The inverse relationship between $1/\text{Sr}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in rivers largely reflects rivers draining ancient granitic terrains (low Sr concentrations, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} > 0.715$) versus rivers that include Phanerozoic limestones in their drainages (highest Sr concentrations, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \sim 0.710$). Rivers that drain young volcanic terrains have relatively high Sr concentrations ($1/\text{Sr} < 2$) and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios around 0.705; these plot below the data dominated by marine limestones. The Avon and Murchinson rivers have anomalously high Sr concentrations for their $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios due to evaporative concentration (Goldstein and Jacobsen, 1987); the Ganges and Brahmaputra data are similarly displaced due to geologically unusual circumstances associated with the Himalayan Orogeny (Palmer and Edmond, 1992). Data from Palmer and Edmond (1989).
weathering of ancient granitic rocks will produce relatively low fluxes of Sr with $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios much higher than those of seawater. Increased weathering of basalts and young volcanic belts will yield relatively high fluxes of Sr with $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios only somewhat lower than those of seawater. Changing the proportions of limestones exposed to weathering will, while affecting the average riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio, have little impact on the seawater Sr-isotope curve due to the similarity of the limestone and seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (Brass, 1976). In the following section we will consider specific paleoclimatic and geological conditions that could affect fluvial Sr derived from silicate weathering.

The results assuming that the excursions were caused entirely by changes in hydrothermal inputs are shown in figure 8. For each excursion, five main budgets were used assigning 17 (\(= 1/6\)), 25, 50, 75, and 100 percent of the modern basaltic Sr flux to the high-temperature axial term and with the remainder sent to the off-axis term (table 1). For each case, the axial component was varied from one-half to twice the modern flux while the off-axis flux was held constant. A pair of curves was produced for each budget because the fluvial Sr flux and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios were individually adjusted to match the pre-excursion seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values. When each of the five pairs of curves is plotted together (fig. 8), a field representing the most likely increases in axial hydrothermal activity is defined that encompasses the uncertainty inherited from these three sets of variables. We emphasize the results based on cycles with pre-excursion axial Sr fluxes of 1 to 2 times the modern value following the ocean-crust production rates of figure 5. For a given excursion, the total range of increased axial hydrothermal activity spans almost a factor of 10 (for example, 7–62 percent for the Cenomanian-Santonian) due to these combined uncertainties. For a given starting axial hydrothermal flux, uncertainties in the ancient riverine flux and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio yield an uncertainty of less than a factor of 1.7 (for example, 7–10 percent at “100% axial Jh”). The main source of uncertainty (factor of 6) is the relative importance of axial and off-axial hydrothermal activity (for example, the 10–62 percent upper limits for the Cenomanian-Santonian event). These results underscore the need for a better understanding of modern hydrothermal systems in order to constrain past rates of hydrothermal activity more precisely.

Although these graphs were created assuming only the case of a linear variation of the high-temperature axial flux with ocean-crust production, the shaded areas also include cases in which intermediate-temperatures or off-axis systems respond immediately or with some short time lag to a change in crustal production. For example, if the axial Sr flux were in fact one-sixth the total basaltic flux, the addition of another third of the total basaltic flux from intermediate-temperature systems that respond relatively quickly to changes in crustal production, would be approximately covered by the “50% Axial Jh” case.

Uncertainties in the modern riverine Sr flux and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio have relatively small impacts on the envelopes in figure 8. The non-steady-state budgets (modern oceanic $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.70938$) exert changes that are insignificant (less than 3 percent). The budgets in which the modern Sr flux is 30 percent higher than currently estimated expand the maximum allowed increase in hydrothermal activity by 19 percent (1-21 percentage points on fig. 8). Budgets starting with a 30 percent lower modern fluvial Sr flux decrease the maximum allowed changes by a similar percentage. Importantly, the minimum possible changes remain largely unaffected (less than 5 percent increase).

**Interpretation of the strontium-isotope excursions**

The likely cause of the Sr-isotope excursions can be isolated by comparing the Sr-isotope curve and the modeling results presented above with the geological record. The downward shifts in the Sr-isotope curve in the Pliensbachian-Toarcian, Aptian-Albian, and Cenomanian-Turonian could have been caused by one or more of the
following: a reduction in the mass flux of riverine Sr; a reduction in the global average riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ value; or an increase in the mass flux of ocean-ridge hydrothermal strontium. The sudden onset, short duration, and relatively quick recoveries that characterize the Sr-isotope excursions are most plausibly caused by a single mechanism with transient effects. The normal exposure through erosion of a changing suite of rock types is likely to produce a slowly evolving seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ curve as distinctive rocks are gradually exposed and then eroded away. An orogenic event could suddenly expose new rock types, but it would take a considerable period of time for distinctive rock units to disappear as erosion leveled the new mountain range. In the following sections we emphasize geological processes that could produce relatively transient changes in the flux and/or isotopic composition of Sr introduced to the oceans.

*Reducing the fluvial Sr flux.*—We start by examining the possibility that a temporary reduction in the riverine Sr flux was responsible for the three negative excursions. The results presented in figure 6 indicate that decreases in the riverine flux of at least 6 to 10 percent were required to produce each of the three observed excursions. Such short-term flux reductions could have been caused by climate-induced reductions in weathering rates, rising sealevels that reduced the area of continents exposed to weathering, or temporary changes in the weatherability of rocks exposed at the Earth’s surface.

The best paleoclimate data covering the period of any of the Sr-isotope excursions is a $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record compiled from English Chalk sections spanning the Cenomanian through early Maastrichtian (Jenkyns, Gale, and Corfield, 1994). This record (fig. 9) shows a pronounced warming through the Cenomanian followed by steady cooling from the earliest Turonian through at least the Campanian. The same general trend is apparent in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values from Cretaceous calcareous fine-fraction and bulk sediments from the Exmouth Plateau off Australia, suggesting that the data from the English Chalk reflect a global pattern of paleotemperature change (Clarke and Jenkyns, 1999). Although the precise role of temperature in controlling weathering rates is debated (Ruddiman, 1997), global warming should, if anything, increase the rate and/or intensity of chemical weathering (White and Blum, 1995). Climate models for the Eocene indicate that warmer global climates should have increased rates of chemical weathering by increasing global runoff (Sloan, Bluth, and Filippelli, 1997). In addition, more intensive weathering breaks down a greater proportion of K-bearing, high Rb/Sr minerals (K-feldspars, clay minerals), thus releasing more radiogenic Sr to the rivers (Edmond, 1992). Therefore, warmer climates should have increased both the riverine Sr flux and its $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio.

The dramatic Cenomanian warming corresponded to a gradual increase in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values for most of the stage, but the peak of climate warming was preceded by a decrease in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (fig. 9). This is contrary to the expectation of greatest warmth corresponding to greatest weathering and highest seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values. By the time cooling began in the earliest Turonian, the Sr-isotope excursion was well under way. The rest of the Coniacian through Campanian was marked by gradual cooling, but, instead of a decrease in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios, the
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Figure 8
Sr-isotope curve increases through the rest of the Cretaceous. The lack of any clear correspondence between the δ¹⁸O and the Sr-isotope records suggests that changes in weathering related to climate were not responsible for the Cenomanian-Santonian excursion. The gray band marks the OAE. The “best-estimate” Sr-isotope curve is drawn using the same criteria as in figure 3. The δ¹⁸O record derives from two separate chalk sections (East Kent and Trunch Borehole, Norfolk) with different burial histories. Campanian data show the greater diagenetic overprint with values shifted by roughly −0.7 permil relative to coeval strata in East Kent. The fall in values at the Santonian-Campanian boundary (upward jump in paleotemperature) is, at least in part, an artifact produced where the two data sets join.

Fig. 9. Comparison between a smoothed (3-point moving average) δ¹⁸O record from the English Chalk (Jenkyns, Gale, and Corfield, 1994) and the compiled Sr-isotope record (data as in fig. 3) shows that shifts in δ¹⁸O (temperature proxy; up is warmer) do not generally correspond with changes in ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr. Thus, this excursion was not caused by a change in weathering driven by climate. The gray band marks the OAE.
excursion. Similar conclusions were reached by Kump (1989), who noted that the
dramatic Cenozoic rise in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ corresponded to times of progressive
cooling and glaciation, and by François, Walker, and Opdyke (1993), whose global
geochemical and climate models suggested that weathering rates are relatively indepen-
dent of global temperatures. In the broader context of these studies, the results of
figure 9 suggest that shifts in global climate, leading to either warming or cooling, were
not responsible for any of the three excursions.

A second way of reducing the riverine Sr flux is to have a global transgression
reduce the area of rock exposed to weathering by flooding the continental coastal
plains (Spooner, 1976; Sloan, Bluth, and Filippelli, 1997). In this model, times of
expanded shelf seas correlate with times of lower seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios. However,
comparison of the putative eustatic sealevel curve of Haq, Hardenbol, and Vail (1988)
with the Sr-isotope record shows that, if anything, each of the three excursions
occurred during a sealevel fall: minor in the late Pliensbachian, prolonged in the
Barremian-Aptian, and in its initial stages in the early Turonian (fig. 10). More
generally, increases in paleo-water depth and major transgressions correlate both with
times of rising $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values, as in the Late Jurassic and Albian, and falling $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$
values, as in the Early Jurassic. There is thus no consistent relationship between
inferred sealevel changes, consequent transgression or regression, and fluctuations in
the seawater Sr-isotope curve.

Since the amount of land area flooded by a transgression is a function of
hypsometry as well as the magnitude of the rise, we also compare (fig. 10) the
Sr-isotope record with changing land area (Smith, Smith, and Funnell, 1995). This
record is of lower stratigraphic resolution than the sealevel curve since each point
represents the average for a stage. The Early and Middle Jurassic saw a decrease in land
area (transgression) that correlates with a decrease in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios. However,
as land area further decreased through the end of the Cretaceous, the
Sr-isotope curve generally rose. In detail, while there was a decrease in land area across
the Pliensbachian-Toarcian boundary that correlates with a drop in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$,
the Aptian and Cenomanian-Turonian intervals show the opposite relationship. There
is thus no consistent relationship between land area and the Sr-isotope curve. We
further point out that the major sealevel changes associated with the progressive
development of the Cenozoic ice cap had, at best, tiny effects on the Sr-isotope curve
(DePaolo, 1986; Hodell and others, 1989; Hodell, Mead, and Mueller, 1990; Hodell,
Mueller, and Garrido, 1991; Oslick, Miller, and Feigenson, 1994). This supports our
conclusion that sealevel changes did not produce the three Sr-isotope excursions.

Finally, since lithology exerts a dominant control on weathering rates (Edmond
and others, 1996), a short-term reduction in chemical weathering could be caused by a
temporary switch in exposed lithology from rapidly weathering to slowly weathering
silicate rocks. Perhaps the most dramatic change would come about if a large area were
covered in a quartz-rich sandstone containing roughly 20 ppm of non-carbonate Sr
(Faure, 1986). Assuming that such a shift to sandstone completely shut down the
weathering release of Sr over this area, the 6 to 10 percent reduction in the global Sr
flux required to produce the Sr-isotope excursions (fig. 6) would require a 6 to 10
percent switch in the continental surface area from average rocks to inert sandstone.
This amounts to a change of some 9 to 15 million km$^2$, which equals 1.5 to 2.5 times the
size of the Amazon River drainage basin. Apart from sealevel changes, which were
eliminated above, it is difficult to imagine a geological mechanism that would tempo-
rarily cover such a vast area of the planet with material inert to weathering. Other
changes in silicate lithologies are more likely, but these would have a significantly
smaller impact on local weathering rates and thus would require even larger areas of
the Earth to be temporarily covered with the more resistant lithologies. Bluth and
Kump (1994) estimate that the largest difference in silicate weathering rates, in terms of dissolved silica, is a factor of two, with basalts weathering the fastest and sandstones the slowest. Although it is difficult to relate these silica-based rates to Sr fluxes, it seems reasonable to take this factor of two as the maximum reduction in Sr fluxes that would result from a change in a suite of lithologies over a broad area. This factor-of-two reduction in Sr fluxes requires 18 to 30 million km² (or 3-5 times the size of the Amazon Basin) to undergo a temporary change in lithology. Such an enormous yet temporary change, by whatever mechanism, seems extremely unlikely. Thus, three plausible mechanisms for reducing the riverine Sr flux (climate change, sealevel, exposed rock types) are eliminated.

Fig. 10. Comparison between the eustatic sea level curve of Haq, Hardenbol, and Vail (1988), the land-area curve of Smith, Smith, and Funnell (1995), and the seawater Sr-isotope curve shows no clear correspondence either on the long (100 my) or short (10 my) time scales. Variations in land-area therefore do not impact global weathering rates enough to affect the seawater Sr-isotope curve. The land-area axis is inverted to facilitate comparison with the sea level curve. 

Kump (1994) estimate that the largest difference in silicate weathering rates, in terms of dissolved silica, is a factor of two, with basalts weathering the fastest and sandstones the slowest. Although it is difficult to relate these silica-based rates to Sr fluxes, it seems reasonable to take this factor of two as the maximum reduction in Sr fluxes that would result from a change in a suite of lithologies over a broad area. This factor-of-two reduction in Sr fluxes requires 18 to 30 million km² (or 3-5 times the size of the Amazon Basin) to undergo a temporary change in lithology. Such an enormous yet temporary change, by whatever mechanism, seems extremely unlikely. Thus, three plausible mechanisms for reducing the riverine Sr flux (climate change, sealevel, exposed rock types) are eliminated.
Reduction of riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios.—The model results presented in figure 6 suggest that the Sr-isotope excursions required reductions in riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of at least 0.00019 to 0.00031. The main ways of reducing riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios are by changing the rock types exposed to weathering using sealevel, which is a mechanism eliminated above (fig. 10), by causing a globally significant shift to less intensive weathering, and by suddenly creating a large exposure of rocks, such as flood basalts, with low $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios.

The intensity of weathering is controlled by climate, particularly temperature and rainfall (White and Blum, 1995), and physical denudation rates, especially controlled by topographic relief and glacial activity. Hot tropical climates allow bedrock in low-relief areas to be intensely weathered, leaving behind a thick lateritic residue of kaolinite, quartz, and Fe and Al oxides. Such intensive weathering releases most of the Sr contained in the bedrock, resulting in riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values that closely match bedrock $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ values (Palmer and Edmond, 1992). In temperate climates, Ca- and Na-bearing silicate minerals with low Rb/Sr ratios break down much more rapidly than the common K-bearing, high Rb/Sr minerals such as K-feldspar and muscovite. The result of such differential weathering is riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios that are significantly lower than the bulk-rock or soil-residuum values (Edmond, 1992; Edmond and Huh, 1997). Thus, one possible way to reduce global riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ is to reduce the intensity of weathering through global cooling and a consequent reduction of rainfall (Sloan, Bluth, and Filippelli, 1997). However, as noted earlier, there is no clear relationship between climate and the Cenomanian-Santonian Sr-isotope excursion (fig. 9) or climate and the Sr-isotope curve in general. Although the beginning of the Sr-isotope excursion roughly coincides with a major warming trend, there is no obvious change in Sr isotopes corresponding to the onset of cooling, and the beginning of the recovery in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios occurs in the middle of a long, gradual climatic deterioration. The Sr-isotope curve thus shows no obvious response to any changes in global weathering intensity that may have stemmed from Late Cretaceous climate changes.

One exception to this generalized relationship between weathering intensity and fluvial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios arises from the rapid mechanical weathering of ancient biotite-bearing shield rocks (Blum, 1997). Upon exposure, biotite weathers extremely rapidly (breaking down within a few thousand years) and, if sufficiently old, releases extremely radiogenic Sr to solution (Blum and Erel, 1995, 1997). On time scales longer than 100 ka, the rate of biotite weathering and Sr release are controlled largely by the rate at which new biotite is exposed by mechanical erosion. Thus, orogenic and glacial activity can produce large volumes of sediment that have undergone low-intensity weathering and yet yield highly radiogenic Sr to solution. A negative Sr-isotope excursion could theoretically be produced if there were a temporary reduction in mechanical weathering caused by a globally significant reduction in orogenic relief or a cessation of glacial activity due to a climatic warming. Significant reductions in relief that, based on the Sr-isotope excursions (figs. 2, 3), would have had to take place over a few million years seem highly unlikely. As far as glacial activity goes, not only is there no evidence for extensive glaciation during the Jurassic and Cretaceous (Hallam, 1985; Frakes, Francis, and Syktus, 1992; Markwick and Rowley, 1998), but figure 9 suggests that there is no clear relationship between climate change and the Sr-isotope excursions.

The most plausible way to lower average riverine $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios is suddenly to expose volcanic rocks with low $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios through such mechanisms as the eruption of flood basalts or establishment of new volcanic arcs. Basalts are especially attractive because they weather readily and thus could quickly deliver their Sr to rivers (Bluth and Kump, 1994; Taylor and Lasaga, 1999). The basalts that form the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province erupted at 200 ± 4 Ma (Marzoli and others, 1999). These
appear to be too old to have caused the Pliensbachian-Toarcian excursion (191-187 Ma) and are probably too young to be associated with the late Triassic dip in the Sr-isotope curve (fig. 11). The Karoo Flood Basalts covered a huge area and had $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (Bristow and others, 1984; Hawkesworth and others, 1984; Ellam and Cox, 1989) that were potentially low enough to have shifted the seawater Sr-isotope curve (fig. 11). However, current age estimates for the Pliensbachian/Toarcian stage boundary (189.6 ± 4.0, after Gradstein and others, 1994) and the Karoo volcanics (182±1, adjusted from Duncan and others (1997) to match MMhb-1 standard used in the Mesozoic time scale) place the Karoo eruptions significantly after the Sr-isotope excursion (fig. 11). (New geochronological work on the Pliensbachian-Toarcian time scale does, it should be noted, now bring the Karoo to within striking distance of the Sr-isotope excursion (Pálfy and Smith, 2000)). The Paraná volcanics had average $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (~0.7074, after Peate and others, 1990; Peate, Hawkesworth, and Mantovani, 1992) similar to those of contemporary seawater (0.7073) and thus could not have caused a significant shift in the Sr-isotope curve. The best-estimate age of the Rajamahal flood basalts (115.7 ± 0.6 Ma; Pringle, 1994) places them at the height of the Aptic excursion (fig. 11), not at its beginning as would be expected if they had produced the excursion. In addition, this province is one of the smallest considered and thus should have had a smaller impact than the others. The Deccan Traps also had $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios (Peng and others, 1994) too close to those of contemporary seawater to have had a significant impact (fig. 11). The North Atlantic Tertiary Province covered a large area, lasted a considerable interval of time (~10 my; Saunders and others, 1997), and is the only flood-basalt province that has the right timing and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios to have plausibly caused a (small) decline in the seawater Sr-isotope curve (Paleocene to Eocene). Neither the (approximately dated) Ethiopian nor the Columbia River flood basalts are associated with decreases in the Sr-isotope curve, although Hodell and Woodruff (1994) and Taylor and Lasaga (1999) attribute the sudden decrease in slope of the curve to the eruption of these units. In summary, the eruption and subsequent weathering of large flood-basalt provinces with low $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios do not appear to have had a substantial impact on the Sr-isotope record. Thus, such volcanic phenomena were not responsible for the Mesozoic seawater Sr-isotope excursions.

Sr-isotope excursions and hydrothermal activity.—The elimination of the plausible mechanisms that could have affected the riverine Sr terms suggests that seawater Sr-isotope excursions were caused by the only remaining variable: increased hydrothermal activity. Over the long-term, hydrothermal activity is driven by ocean-crust production because heat brought to the surface by rising magma and released during crystallization drives hydrothermal circulation (Sleep and Wolery, 1978; Morton and Sleep, 1985). Most high- and intermediate-temperature hydrothermal systems are located in the area over the ridge-axis magma chamber. Off-axis circulation decreases in temperature and volume per unit area moving away from the ridge crest due to the progressive cooling of the crust and its burial by relatively impermeable marine sediments. It has already been shown that long-term variations in ocean-crust production were capable of producing the variations in the seawater Sr-isotope curve from the middle Cretaceous through the early Cenozoic (Jones and others, 1994). Short-term bursts of hydrothermal activity may result from three mechanisms: sudden increases in the rate of mid-ocean-ridge crust production; the emplacement of submarine oceanic plateaus such as Ontong Java, as championed by Ingram and others (1994); and “tectonic reorganizations” analogous to those documented in the Neogene history of the East Pacific Rise (Lyle, Owen, and Leinen, 1986; Lyle and others, 1987).

The increases in hydrothermal activity implied by the Sr-isotope excursions range from a minimum of 7 to 11 percent to a maximum of 62 to 104 percent (fig. 8), depending on the starting hydrothermal flux, the relative magnitude of the axial/off-
Fig. 11. The timing, areal extent, and estimated $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of continental flood basalts (FB) and oceanic plateaus (PB) are compared with the Sr-isotope record. Large Sr-isotope data sets with stratigraphic coverage are given an average $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr value in addition to a typical range of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios; provinces with only limited data show only the typical range of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values. Sources for data not given in text include the ages of the Paraná (Stewart and others, 1996), Ethiopian (Rampino and Stothers, 1988), and Columbia River (Baksi, 1990) provinces, the $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP; Marzoli and others, 1999, and references therein), Ontong Java (Mahoney and others, 1993), Kerguelen (Mahoney and others, 1995), Rajmahal (Baksi, 1995, Kent and others, 1997), Caribbean (Kerr and others, 1997), North Atlantic Tertiary (Dickin, 1981; Holm, 1988; Holm and others, 1993; Wallace and others, 1994; Kerr, Kempton, and Thompson, 1995), Ethiopian (Mohr and Zanettin, 1988), and Columbia River (Brandon and others, 1993) basalts, and the original areal coverage of all volcanic provinces (Chiesa and others, 1989; Ellam and Cox, 1989; Tolim and others, 1989; Hawkesworth and others, 1992; Baksi, 1994, 1995; Coffin and Eldholm, 1994; Marzoli and others, 1999).
axial hydrothermal Sr fluxes, and the actual values of the riverine mass flux and \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio during the excursions. These increases are potentially quite dramatic, especially when compared to the relatively modest changes in the riverine Sr terms that are required to do the same job. These changes in hydrothermal activity are put into perspective by the data of Larson (1991b), which suggest that crustal production in the Aptian increased by \(\sim 60\) to \(\sim 80\) percent by area, depending on whether or not the oceanic plateaus are included in the calculation. Thus, assuming a 1:1 response between crustal production and hydrothermal activity, not even the larger calculated hydrothermal increases are eliminated. The more modest increases of 7 to 35 percent associated with the larger assumed axial fluxes seem perfectly reasonable within the context of Larson’s data. Unfortunately, apart from the Aptian, there is no independent corroborating evidence for large pulses of hydrothermal activity. In the case of the Early Jurassic, there is a lack of ocean crust of this age. In the case of the Cenomanian-Turonian, the length of the Cretaceous Long Normal magnetic chron (Aptian-Santonian) makes it difficult to resolve short-term changes in ocean-crust production, as does the low resolution (\(\sim 10\) my) of the original crustal-production compilation of Kominz (1984). In summary, the calculated range of increased hydrothermal activity is within the realm of possibility, especially for budgets that assume larger axial hydrothermal terms. Although it has proved difficult to resolve the absolute magnitude of the hydrothermal increases, the Sr-isotope curve still proves useful in that the arguments presented above show that it records the precise timing, duration, and relative magnitude of periods of unusually high hydrothermal activity.

OCEANIC PLATEAUS AS POSSIBLE SOURCES OF EXCESS HYDROTHERMAL ACTIVITY

The role of oceanic-plateau emplacement in generating excess hydrothermal activity can be best assessed at present through consideration of the timing and relative size of the volcanic edifices. Although native copper of likely hydrothermal origin occurs in the sedimentary cover of Manihiki Plateau (Jenkyns, 1976), and fractures and breccias recovered from Ontong Java are somewhat hydrothermally altered (Kroenke, Berger, and Janacek, 1991), there is no direct evidence as to the geometry, extent, or even existence of ancient well-established hydrothermal vent systems in oceanic plateaus. Although there is a general correlation in the mid-Cretaceous between plateau emplacement and Sr-isotope excursions (fig. 11), in detail the picture is more complex. Sinton and Duncan (1997) make the case that the emplacements of the Caribbean and parts of the Ontong Java oceanic plateaus match the timing of the Cenomanian-Turonian Oceanic Anoxic Event. Using normalized age data, they put the Cenomanian-Turonian boundary at 93.5 ± 0.2 Ma, the weighted average of the Caribbean plateau samples at 89.5 ± 0.3 Ma, and the late phase of the Ontong Java eruptions at 90.5 ± 0.8 Ma (drill samples) and 91.8 ± 0.3 Ma (outcrop, Solomon Islands). The volcanic activity is thus 2 to 4 my too young, but they note that the sample ages are within the 1σ analytical errors (1-2 my) of the boundary and, moreover, that the samples come from the youngest (uppermost) portions of the plateaus. Given these caveats, the onset of the seawater Sr-isotope excursion could well coincide with the eruption of these oceanic plateaus.

The case is not so clear for the much larger Aptian excursion. Bralower and others (1997) consider the question of relative timing in some detail and conclude that, as shown in figure 11, the Manihiki and early, voluminous phases of the Ontong-Java Plateau erupted just before and up to the very beginning of the Sr-isotope excursion (122.4 ± 0.8 Ma; Mahoney and others, 1993; Larson and Erba, 1999). If these samples of the uppermost plateau are again the youngest, the bulk of the plateau erupted well before the Sr-isotope curve began its descent. Kerguelen erupted 8 my later (114-110 Ma; Coffin and Eldholm, 1994), after the descent and minimum in the Sr-isotope curve. Unless the age estimates are quite unrepresentative of either the main phases of
volcanism or the lower and upper Aptian stage boundaries, the main decrease in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ appears to have occurred at a time when no plateaus were being emplaced. At times when the plateaus were being emplaced, the Sr-isotope curve either shows little change (Ontong Java) or an increase in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (Kerguelen); this is the opposite of the expected response. Thus, the Aptian data suggest that plateau basalts are not generally the main source of basaltic hydrothermal Sr. This leaves ocean-crust production at spreading centers as the best way to fuel the hydrothermal activity recorded by the Sr-isotope curve.

**Sr** isotopes, hydrothermal activity, and oceanic anoxic events

We have documented a close relationship in time between negative excursions in the seawater Sr-isotope curve and the major Mesozoic Oceanic Anoxic Events as marked by widespread deposition of organic-rich shales and positive carbon-isotope excursions. Specifically, the Aptian and Cenomanian-Turonian OAEs coincided precisely with the onset of Sr-isotope excursions (figs. 3, 4), whereas the Early Jurassic OAE coincided exactly with the end of a Sr-isotope excursion, with only a minor positive $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ shift occurring at its onset (fig. 2). After a detailed consideration of the possible causes for the Sr-isotope excursions, we conclude that the Sr-isotope curve most likely defines the timing, duration, and relative magnitude of three major pulses of hydrothermal activity that were most likely caused by increased ocean-crust production. The close association in time between these bursts of hydrothermal activity and the three major Mesozoic OAEs suggests that genetic links should be explored. The greatest difficulty in this matter stems from the fact that the OAEs occurred either at the very beginning or end of a proposed hydrothermal event. The model we outline below contains a number of different components (fig. 12), not all of which are necessarily required, and many of which can be tested with either geological evidence or quantitative carbon cycle and climate models.

A first set of components stems from the fundamental conclusion of this paper: each period of increased hydrothermal activity was associated with an OAE. The most important and direct implication of increased hydrothermal activity is that the increased rates of ocean-crust production should have led to increased rates of ocean-ridge volcanic-CO$_2$ outgassing (Berner, Lasaga, and Garrels, 1983; Berner, 1994; Marty and Tolstikhin, 1998). Since sea-floor spreading is driven largely by subduction (Lithgow-Bertelloni and Richards, 1998), there may have also been increased volcanic-arc CO$_2$ contributions through decarbonation reactions (Bickle, 1996) if ocean-crust production was driven by faster spreading rates, as opposed to increases in the total length of ridges. Mid-ocean ridges, volcanic arcs, and mantle plumes today each contribute similar amounts of CO$_2$ to the ocean-atmosphere system (Marty and Tolstikhin, 1998).

The result of excess volcanic CO$_2$ production should have been global warming (Caldeira and Rampino, 1990, 1991). Global warming leads to three more components of the model (fig. 12). First, the warming could have accelerated the hydrologic cycle and thus increased rates of chemical weathering (Manabe and Bryan, 1985; Berner, 1994; White and Blum, 1995; Sloan, Bluth, and Filippelli, 1997). This would increase the flux of nutrients (particularly phosphorus) to the oceans and thus help stimulate biological productivity (Pedersen and Calvert, 1990). Since mineral-bound P is rapidly stripped (in a few ka) from freshly created soils (Filippelli, 1999), the position of orogenic belts relative to the climate belts could have strongly influenced the flux of P during each hydrothermal event. Geological evidence for accelerated weathering could include a general shift toward more intensely weathered detrital sediments during periods of heightened hydrothermal activity and increased accumulation rates of disseminated P in shelf and deep-sea sediments. Such a model could be tested by looking at phosphorus accumulation rates over each time. Maxima in P accumulation
rates are not obvious in the data covering the last 160 my assembled by Follmi (1995), but an effort focused over the critical intervals spanned by the Sr-isotope excursions may be required to place better constraints on changes in P accumulation at these times. Finally, we note that increased weathering would dampen the decrease in seawater $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios caused by increased hydrothermal activity and thus would lead to underestimated hydrothermal fluxes.

A second result of global warming could have been a change in the strength of the zonal tropospheric winds. An increase in wind velocities would increase rates of upwelling and thus stimulate productivity (Pedersen and Calvert, 1990; Jenkyns, 1999). Finally, a third result of warming may have been increased rates of deep-water production due to more evaporation in low-latitude shallow seas (Arthur, Schlanger, and Jenkyns, 1987). Faster deep-water production would also stimulate upwelling to help support enhanced productivity. Increased rates of upwelling have the effect of
more rapidly recycling nutrients to surface waters (Berner and Berner, 1987) and, as such, serve to reduce the need for increased external nutrient inputs.

The periods of enhanced hydrothermal activity may also have been associated with another important process: increased fluxes of particulate Fe to oceanic deep waters via hydrothermal vent plumes (Sinton and Duncan, 1997). Vogt (1989) calculated that hydrothermal megaplumes (Baker, Massoth, and Feely, 1987) from the largest submarine eruptions could have risen to biologically productive surface waters. The frequency of such large eruptions probably increased during the periods of accelerated crustal production that accompanied the Sr-isotope excursions. Of the hydrothermally derived trace metals, Fe is a limiting nutrient in large areas of the modern ocean where fluvial and eolian inputs of Fe are low, such as the Pacific subarctic (Martin and Fitzwater, 1988), the equatorial Pacific (Coale and others, 1996), the Southern Ocean (de Baar and others, 1990), and even some parts of the coastal upwelling zone off the California coast (Hutchins and Bruland, 1998). Broad regions of the Mesozoic Pacific Ocean may have been exceptionally poor in Fe due to its vast area and the generally lower eolian dust fluxes (factor of ~5) found in the pre-glacial past (Rea, 1994). The Atlantic and Tethys oceans may not have been as sensitive to Fe fertilization, because their smaller sizes would have made it easier for fluvial and/or eolian fluxes to reach much of the surface of each ocean (Sinton and Duncan, 1997).

The increased rates of hydrothermal activity thus point toward several possible ways of increasing the nutrient content of seawater: greater weathering leads to greater riverine supply of nutrients to the oceans; stronger winds lead to greater rates of upwelling and nutrient recycling; enhanced production of saline deep waters leads to greater upwelling and nutrient recycling; hydrothermal plumes inject Fe into water column to fertilize oceans (fig. 12). With one or more of these processes acting over the duration of a hydrothermal event lasting 4 to 13 my, the pressing question becomes why the OAEs are restricted to short intervals located at either the beginning or end of a hydrothermal event. Why are they not distributed throughout the period of enhanced hydrothermal activity? We turn now to the geological record.

The sedimentary record leading up to each OAE shows a transgressive facies shift. The Toarcian and Cenomanian-Turonian cases each show clear, globally distributed evidence for a transgression that broadly coincided with the deposition of the globally distributed black shales during the OAE (fig. 10; Schlanger and others, 1987; Haq, Hardenbol, and Vail, 1988; Jenkyns, 1988; Davey and Jenkyns, 1999). For the Aptian, the evidence is more equivocal. Haq, Hardenbol, and Vail (1988) show a second-order sealevel fall across the Barremian and early Aptian (fig. 10). This is largely based on sections located on either side of the Atlantic. In contrast, Sahagian and others (1996) synthesize observations from numerous sections spread across the stable Russian craton to derive a sealevel curve that shows a prominent transgression during the early Aptian. In addition, the largest of the third-order transgressions (Haq, Hardenbol, and Vail, 1988) present in any of the three OAEs occurs at exactly the time of the Aptian OAE. Using the δ13C curve from wood samples from the Isle of Wight in southern Britain (Gröcke, Hesselbo, and Jenkyns, 1999) as a correlative tool allows the stratigraphic record of the OAE to be placed in the deepest-water facies of the section.

Our model thus proceeds as follows: The periods of increased hydrothermal activity preconditioned the oceans for OAEs by increasing their potential productivity levels by virtue of additional nutrient supply or faster nutrient recycling (upwelling). A sealevel rise at any time during these periods of heightened nutrient supply could produce an OAE by significantly expanding the area of shallow, subtropical continental seas. The expansion of the warm shallow seas increased the production of warm, saline deep waters, which flowed off-shelf and thus increased rates of upwelling of nutrient-rich, intermediate waters (Arthur, Schlanger, and Jenkyns, 1987). In addition,
the expansion of shallow shelf seas favored the preservation of organic matter because organic matter is more readily preserved on shallow continental shelves owing to faster sediment accumulation rates and shorter transit time through the water column (Müller and Suess, 1979; Demaille and Moore, 1980; Pelet, 1987; Pedersen and Calvert, 1990). The hypothesis that sealevel rise was a final trigger for the OAEs offers several advantages. Such a suggestion explains why OAEs occurred only at specific, short intervals during longer periods of excess hydrothermal activity. Equally, the necessary co-occurrence of rising sealevel or high sealevel stands with periods of enhanced hydrothermal activity explains why not every positive sealevel change was accompanied by an OAE. Furthermore, there is a prediction that a rapid sealevel rise during a period of increased hydrothermal activity should have resulted in an OAE. Thus, a search for other time intervals containing the association of excess hydrothermal activity, a sealevel rise, and an OAE will allow a test of this hypothesis.

The sealevel rise and transgression that took place at either the beginning or end of a hydrothermal event cannot be directly related to ridge-volume increases due to the long time lag (\(\approx 30\) my) between increased crustal production and increased ridge volume (Heller and Angevine, 1985; Engebretson and others, 1992). Instead, it may be that other tectonic events that caused sealevel changes, such as the reconfiguration of subduction zones (Gurnis, 1990), were more likely to have occurred during a time of changing tectonic stresses associated with the onset or end of a period of enhanced crustal production.

The climatic impact of ocean-ridge volcanic CO\(_2\) emissions and changes in carbon cycling brought about by the OAEs can be mapped with a comprehensive paleotemperature record. Unfortunately, long-term \(\delta^{18}\)O records that span the intervals of hydrothermal activity are rare and influenced to a varying degree by diagenetic alteration. Despite these limitations, however, existing data suggest some intriguing patterns. The Cenomanian-Turonian OAE is covered by the best available \(\delta^{18}\)O data (fig. 9). This trend does not show a temperature maximum corresponding to the entire period of increased hydrothermal activity but instead suggests a warming leading up to the OAE and a climatic cooling following the OAE. For the Aptian, a similar temperature maximum centered at the OAE is suggested by \(\delta^{18}\)O data from the upper Barremian to Aptian exposed in two sections in the Alps (Menegatti and others, 1998) and one in the Apennines (Marconi, Wezel, and Longinelli, 1994). For the Toarcian, the \(\delta^{18}\)O data are sketchy but again suggest a temperature maximum at the OAE (Jenkyns and Clayton, 1997). Palynological data from the former Soviet Union buttress the case for early Toarcian and Cenomanian-Turonian temperature maxima (Vakhrameev, 1991). Thus, whereas there is evidence to support temperature maxima leading up to the OAEs, there is no suggestion that the temperature record mirrors the increased volcanic CO\(_2\) fluxes inferred from the Sr-isotope excursions. This lack of a clear relationship is further supported by the timing of the Cenomanian-Turonian warming, which preceded the Sr-isotope excursion (hence increased ocean-ridge volcanism) by roughly 2 to 5 my (fig. 9). In addition, whereas the Aptian Sr-isotope excursion implies a relative increase in hydrothermal activity that is 70 percent larger than the Cenomanian-Turonian (fig. 8), a new \(\delta^{18}\)O record from Exmouth Plateau off the coast of Australia suggests that the Cenomanian-Turonian was significantly warmer than the Aptian (Clarke and Jenkyns, 1999).

The lack of a clear correspondence between the paleotemperature record and the seawater Sr-isotope excursions, with their inferred increases in ocean-crust production and volcanic CO\(_2\) outgassing, may result from one of the following possibilities:

1. The Sr-isotope excursions are not a record of hydrothermal activity. The best alternative explanation is a temporary change in the average \(^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr of rocks exposed to weathering, because changes in rates of silicate-rock weathering
(and hence the riverine Sr flux) also affect the carbon cycle and thus climate (Berner and Berner, 1997; Edmond and Huh, 1997; Ruddiman and others, 1997). However, as noted earlier, there is no obvious viable mechanism to affect a temporary change in the types of silicate rocks exposed at the Earth’s surface.

2. Episodes of increased hydrothermal activity are not necessarily directly related to rates of ocean-crust production and thus volcanic CO₂ outgassing. Lyle, Owen, and Leinen (1986) and Lyle and others (1987) used the timing of large increases in the mass accumulation rates of hydrothermal metalliferous sediment to infer major increases in East Pacific Rise hydrothermal venting related to episodes of regional tectonic reorganization. Such phenomena as ridge jumps, ridge realignments, and the initiation of new ridges may substantially increase hydrothermal venting by additional fracturing of oceanic crust and consequent greater access of seawater to hotter, fresher material at the ridge axis. Analogous large vent plumes are associated with magma-emplacement events at the Juan de Fuca Ridge (Baker, Massoth, and Feely, 1987). The effect of such venting on the Sr budget is unclear. The increased metalliferous sediment accumulation may be only a reflection of the rapid formation of highly buoyant plumes, which could allow a larger proportion of the highly insoluble (in seawater) transition metals to bypass the leaky plumbing of the sulfide stockworks capping established vent fields. Thus, reorganizations may increase the proportion of transition metals that pass beyond the stockworks into the vent plumes but not the total long-term flux of such soluble elements as Ca and Sr, which are normally expelled into seawater. Assuming a fixed crustal-production rate, an increased Sr flux would result from tectonic reorganizations only if they allowed more alteration at higher temperatures as a result of the increased fracturing. A given amount of heat is available from a given mass of crust to drive ridge-crest and off-axis hydrothermal activity; reorganizations need to allow a greater proportion of heat to be removed immediately at the ridge axis in order to affect the Sr budget and reduce the need to increase ocean-crust production rates.

3. The CO₂ related to the increase in crustal production was largely accommodated by an accelerated exogenic carbon cycle. As ocean-crust production began to increase, the slightly higher atmospheric CO₂ fertilized plant growth, both by allowing plants more growth per unit water and by stimulating symbiotic fungi on rootlets to more aggressively recover nutrients (mainly P, K, and S) from soils (Broecker and Abhijit, 1998). Since it is possible that plants are the dominant agent of chemical weathering (Berner, 1997; Broecker and Abhijit, 1998), they may have accelerated chemical weathering to the point where excess carbon was removed by the atmosphere as soon as it was added and transferred it to the oceans as bicarbonate where it could be ultimately buried as CaCO₃. Thus, higher fluxes of CO₂ into the ocean-atmosphere system could have been matched by higher outgoing fluxes. This accommodation would have minimized increases in atmosphere CO₂ levels and consequent climate change. This possibility probably requires feedback loops involving temperature, runoff, and chemical/biological weathering that are more sensitive to changes in atmospheric CO₂ than those currently modelled by Berner (1994).

The insensitivity of the δ¹⁸O record in relation to the Sr-isotope excursions is in marked contrast to the rapid temperature increases that preceded each OAE. The atmospheric build-up of CO₂ preceding each OAE may have been related to:
1. Large and fast increases in volcanic CO$_2$ emissions associated with the rapid emplacement of flood basalts or oceanic plateaus (Caldeira and Rampino, 1990, 1991). Although they appear not to be responsible for the Sr-isotope excursions, the available geochronological constraints on both the geologic time scale and the main phase of oceanic plateau eruptions allow the possibility that some or all of the warming trends coincided with the eruption of a flood basalt or oceanic plateau (fig. 11). Since elevated atmospheric CO$_2$ levels can be sustained only as long as eruptive activity continues (Berner and Caldeira, 1997), this mechanism is tenable only if carefully intercalibrated geochronological work (such as Pálfy and Smith, 2000) demonstrates a coincidence in time between eruptive episodes and periods of warming. In addition, favoring this mechanism implies a possible link (suggested by coincidence in time) between the eruption of large igneous provinces and sealevel rises (Schlanger, Jenkyns, and Premoli Silva, 1981; Larson, 1991a).

2. A repartioning of CO$_2$ between the ocean and atmosphere as a result of the onset of increased warm-saline intermediate water production stemming from the transgressive expansion of shallow subtropical seas. Because warm seawater holds less dissolved CO$_2$, an increase in the average temperature of the deep oceans should partition more CO$_2$ into the atmosphere. In either case, the rapid cooling following each OAE suggests that organic-carbon burial rapidly drew down atmospheric CO$_2$, returning the world to its pre-OAE atmospheric CO$_2$ levels (Jenkyns, 1999).

CONCLUSIONS

1. The three major Mesozoic Oceanic Anoxic Events coincided with the three major negative seawater Sr-isotope excursions. The Toarcian OAE occurred at the end of the Sr-isotope excursion, whereas the Aptian and Cenomanian-Turonian OAEs occurred at the onset of their accompanying Sr-isotope excursions.

2. Although calculations suggest that relatively small changes in the riverine terms (Sr flux, $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio) could produce the Sr-isotope excursions, the available geological evidence points toward increased hydrothermal activity as the cause of the excursions. A better quantitative understanding of the magnitude of the required hydrothermal increases depends to a large extent on an improved understanding of the flux of hydrothermal Sr from axial versus off-axial ridge systems.

3. The close correspondence in time between Oceanic Anoxic Events and the episodes of increased hydrothermal activity permits a causal linkage between the two phenomena. It seems likely that the hydrothermal activity was driven by increased ocean-crust production, which in turn increased magmatic CO$_2$ fluxes and thus warmed climate. This may have then accelerated the hydrologic cycle and rates of exogenic carbon cycling which, along with several other processes, led to enhanced fluxes of nutrients to biologically productive surface ocean waters.

4. The fact that Oceanic Anoxic Events occurred at different times relative to the onset of increased hydrothermal activity implies that a relatively independent mechanism actually triggered the events. We propose that the increased ocean-crust production led to oceans that were preconditioned to engender an OAE by driving one or more processes that led to higher fluxes of nutrients to surface waters. Within an extended period of increased ocean-crust production, the OAE was triggered by sealevel rise. This model explains why OAEs did not occur at the same relative time within episodes of increased hydrothermal activity as well as why not every Mesozoic sealevel rise resulted in an OAE.
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